Remarks

Status of Claims

1-3 and 15-37 Canceled by preliminary amendment Added by July 24, 2000 amendment Canceled without prejudice in response to a Restriction Requirement Added response to a Restriction Requirement Canceled without prejudice in response to an Election of Species 4 and 38-41 Remain pending	1-37	Claims of priority application
8-14 Canceled without prejudice in response to a Restriction Requirement 39-49 Added response to a Restriction Requirement 5-7 and 42-49 Canceled without prejudice in response to an Election of Species	1-3 and 15-37	Canceled by preliminary amendment
39-49 Added response to a Restriction Requirement 5-7 and 42-49 Canceled without prejudice in response to an Election of Species	38	Added by July 24, 2000 amendment
5-7 and 42-49 Canceled without prejudice in response to an Election of Species	8-14	Canceled without prejudice in response to a Restriction Requirement
·	39-49	Added response to a Restriction Requirement
4 and 38-41 Remain pending	5-7 and 42-49	Canceled without prejudice in response to an Election of Species
	4 and 38-41	Remain pending

Allowable Claims

Claims 40-41, have been found allowable over the prior art of record.

Claim Rejections Under 35 USC §112, first and second paragraphs

Claims 4 and 38-39 were rejected as containing new matter based upon the inclusion of an exclusionary proviso in independent claim 4. The claims were also rejected as being indefinite based upon the use of such terms as "carboalkoxy", "di-loweralkylamido" and "methylene dioxy."

Rather than argue the rejections, and as prosecution of this application has already been quite extended, Applicants have chosen to cancel the offending phrases from the claims, and respectfully request that the rejections be withdrawn.

Claim Rejections Under 35 USC §102(b)

Claim 4 was rejected as being anticipated by Mukai et al., Gan et al., Leyshon et al. or Miyano et al. Each reference is said to disclose compounds that fall within Applicants' claim 4.

Mukai et al. In light of the removal of the proviso from claim 4, it is no longer a choice that R^6 and R^7 both be -H.

Gan et al. In light of the removal of the proviso from claim 4, it is no longer a choice that R^6 and R^7 both be -H.